



Dredging Research Technical Notes



Current Corps of Engineers Data Management Practices

Purpose

This technical note describes the results of a survey of Corps of Engineers districts on data management practices presently being used. The information is applicable to all Corps districts that collect and manage data on dredging projects.

Background

The Corps' mission to maintain navigation and administer a disposal permit program results in the Corps collecting and managing data on numerous dredging projects. The data collected vary depending on the needs and requirements of the specific project. Appropriate data and information are required to allow evaluation of the disposal activity in compliance with the Clean Water Act (section 404), or section 103 of the Marine Protection Research and Sanctuaries Act, and the National Environmental Policy Act. Over 30 Federal environmental laws and Executive Orders must be addressed in the evaluation process.

The Dredging Research Program's (DRP) Open-Water Disposal Site Management work unit conducted a survey of Corps districts (Table 1) to determine data collection and data management practices being used. Specific areas surveyed included the type of data collected, data management practices, disposal site management data, disposal site monitoring data collected, and information on beneficial uses of dredged material. A summary of the survey topics and questions is provided as Table 2. The results of this survey are summarized in Table 3 and in the following text.

Additional Information

This technical note was written by Mr. Richard A. Price, Dr. Charles R. Lee, Mr. Dennis L. Brandon, and Ms. Sandra K. Lemlich. Contact Mr. James E. Clausner, (601) 634-2009, or the manager of the DRP, Mr. E. Clark McNair, (601) 634-2070, for additional information.

Table 1 Corps District Surveyed		
Districts Surveyed		
Baltimore	Mobile	Portland
Charleston	New England	San Francisco
Galveston	New Orleans*	Savannah
Jacksonville	New York	Seattle
Los Angeles	Norfolk	Wilmington*
	Philadelphia	
*No information obtained.		

Table 2 Summary of Survey Topics and Questions	
Data Type Bathymetry Tracking location of dredge Type of dredging equipment Quantity of dredged material Change in volume calculation Monitoring data Bioassays Sediment chemistry Sediment physical characteristics Other Disposal Site Monitoring Monitor (Yes or No) Conduct physical monitoring Conduct chemical monitoring Conduct biological monitoring Beneficial Uses Nearshore disposal Other beneficial uses	Data Management Hard copies in file cabinet Use/type of PC spreadsheets Use/type of PC databases Use/type of GIS Data management tool needs Provide data to others Site Management Control placement location Track dredge/scow location Control frequency of disposal Different types of material Use capping Specify type of method Other Need site management tools Management by material/site Coordinate management in Corps Role of EPA Site management plan Problems with sites Running out of sites Mounding problems Material moving from site Seasonal windows and species

<p align="center">Table 3 Summary of Responses to Survey Questions</p>	
Question on Subject Categories	Responses and Percent of Responders
Data type Bathymetry Tracking location of dredge Type of dredging equipment Quantity of dredged material Change in volume calculation Monitoring data Bioassays Sediment chemistry Sediment physical characteristics Other	Yes (95%)* Yes (70%) Yes (70%) Yes (85%) Yes (50%) Yes (85%) Yes (65%); Sometimes Yes (60%); Sometimes (45%) Yes (70%) Effluent, turbidity, total organic carbon
Data management Hard copies in file cabinet Use/type of PC spreadsheets Use/type of PC databases Use/type of GIS Data management tool needs EIS Prep, WP, Workstation Provide data to others	Yes (100%) Lotus (45%); Other, QuatroPro DBase (35%); Other, Oracle Yes (35%); ArcInfo, Intergraph GIS (30%) DBase, capping Models, SYSTAT, BMOP, Hard-copy (65%); Floppy
Site management Control placement location Track dredge/scow location Control frequency of disposal Different types of material Use capping Specify type of method Other Need site management tools Management by material/site Coordinate management in Corps Role of EPA Site management plan Problems with sites Running out of sites Mounding problems Material moving from site Seasonal windows and species	Yes (100%); Coordinates (35%) Inspector, Buoy Yes (100%); Inspector (45%) Blackbox, Datalogging No (60%); Yes (35%) Large grain for beach, berm and road (80%) No (85%); Yes Yes, for capping, WQ (45%) No response Capping models, GIS, yield determination, fan array sonar Yes, human and environmental conflicts, particle size, contamination (80%) Varies from one to another Some involvement by EPA Yes (80%) Yes (60%); mounding, movement offsite, capacity, environmental concerns Yes (45%) Yes (35%) Yes (30%); Maybe Yes (100%); Mostly fish (salmon)
<i>(Continued)</i>	
*Percentage of responders. Values are given for those questions to which the percentage of response was greater than 30 percent.	

Table 3 (Concluded)	
Question on Subject Categories	Responses and Percent of Responders
Disposal site monitoring Monitoring Conduct physical monitoring Conduct chemical monitoring Conduct biological monitoring	Yes (90%); Mostly physical Yes (80%); Mostly bathymetry Yes (65%); When needed Yes (70%)
Beneficial uses Nearshore disposal Other beneficial uses	Yes (80%); Mostly beach nourishment Yes (85%); Wetland creation, beach disposal, landfill cover, thin-layer disposal

Data Type

Data generated by Corps districts can include information on operational and technical aspects of dredging equipment; physical, chemical, and biological data on the material to be disposed; reference and disposal sites; and volume/quantity data on dredging and disposal sites. Responses from the survey indicate that data are collected for all of these aspects of dredging, dredged material disposal, and disposal site management. Data for bathymetry and quantity of dredged material are collected routinely. Data on the type of dredging equipment and location of the dredge during operation are generally collected as well as monitoring data on the disposal site. Bioassay, sediment chemistry, and sediment physical data generation varies depending on dredging/disposal methods (open-water, upland, etc.), dredging/disposal location, and indication of need.

Data Management

The amount of data to be managed varies from a minimum amount as required under Corps regulatory authority and Federal Civil Works projects to extensive amounts for Corps districts that dispose in environmentally sensitive areas. As indicated above, one dredging project can generate a tremendous amount of data. Because these data may be necessary to interact with other Corps district elements, as well as State and Federal offices, the ability to transfer and communicate data quickly and concisely is important. How data are managed will determine the ease of data transfer. The most efficient method of data management is by personal computer (PC) spreadsheet and database software. Responders to the survey indicated that PC spreadsheet (mostly Lotus) and database (mostly DBase) software are used by the majority of Corps districts. However, very few of those surveyed indicated that data provided to others were in floppy disk format. Hard-copy format is still the most widely used method of data storage and transfer.

A not-so-new tool for geographic data storage and interpretation is a Geographic Information System (GIS). Corps districts are beginning to utilize

GIS, either by obtaining the hardware/software, in cooperative work with other Federal agencies, or through contracting. GIS was identified as the data management tool most needed by Corps districts. However, few Corps districts have the funding or manpower available to devote to establishing long-term data management systems.

Disposal Site Management

Disposal site management practices vary significantly among Corps districts, depending on requirements of various resource agencies, state and local authorities, and public and commercial use.

Typically, physical characteristics of dredged material (more than chemical) and disposal site conditions determine management strategies. For example, grain size dictates where dredged material is placed, such as sand on roads or beaches. Tracking of the dredge or scow is conducted mostly by inspectors and usually only for special projects such as capping or compliance projects. Controlling dredged material placement is accomplished mostly by geographic coordinate specification for the purpose of minimizing management area or maximizing site capacity. The frequency of dredging is not a concern to most Corps districts except to meet state regulations or avoid wildlife conflicts. Most of those districts surveyed have seasonal windows in which to dredge, primarily to avoid fish migration or spawning. About half the districts surveyed specify dredging and disposal methods to dredging contractors, usually in capping projects or to meet water quality requirements. Capping projects occur in only a few districts, however.

Most Corps districts surveyed indicated they have developed a site management plan for at least one site. Most also indicated that they are having problems at their disposal sites, including mounding, movement of dredged material offsite, disposal sites filling up, environmental concerns, and land purchasing problems. About half the districts surveyed have the problem of running out of disposal sites. Coordination of site management activities among Corps elements is handled differently from one Corps district to another. Some Corps districts indicated involvement by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in site management.

Disposal Site Monitoring Data

According to the survey, most Corps districts monitor disposal sites to some extent. Large data sets can be generated from physical, chemical, and biological data collected from disposal sites. Physical data consist primarily of bathymetric monitoring of disposal sites. Other physical data include suspended solids, flow rate, and turbidity. Chemical monitoring is conducted only when necessary and generally not on a routine basis. Sediment chemistry data are the most widely collected, followed by tissue (plant and animal) and water data. Biological monitoring data, including bioaccumulation, diversity, and community structure, are collected by most districts surveyed, mainly for baseline data.

Beneficial Uses Information

Although the survey did not address data collection from beneficial use activities, information on beneficial uses of dredged material can be useful to the various Corps districts seeking disposal alternatives that achieve public support. Most districts surveyed indicated that dredged material is being used for beneficial purposes, including berms for beach nourishment, wetland creation, direct beach disposal, shallow aquatic disposal, thin-layer disposal, and landfill cover.

Conclusions

The results of this survey indicate that data storage, management, and sharing activities are not progressing at a rate equal to information management technology advances. Although this is a problem that exists among Federal agencies as a whole, some agencies have taken the lead in developing and utilizing the technology that is currently available. One problem to be recognized is the incompatibility of software (such as ArcInfo and Intergraph, QuatroPro and Lotus, etc.). This is not to suggest that the Corps have uniform spreadsheet, GIS, and database software, but to recommend that the needs and capabilities of the users be considered.