HOUSTON SHIP CHANNEL EXPANSION CHANNEL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

CONFINED AQUATIC DISPOSAL (CAD) CELLS

Christopher Frabotta Chief, Navigation Branch U.S. Army Corps of Engineers October 25, 2018



EULKHEADS CAN BE

"The views, opinions and findings contained in this report are those of the authors(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other official documentation."











PIPELINE DREDGING







PIPELINE DREDGING









HOPPER DREDGING





MECHANICAL DREDGING







MECHANICAL DREDGING

























Disposal Capacity of HSC Project



* This assumes that CDF capacity is preserved for Maintenance





CRITICAL NEED TO PRESERVE CDF CAPACITY FOR FEDERAL & NON-FEDERAL MAINTENANCE

CURRENT OPERATIONS

COST

3 Pipeline Maintenance contracts / year
Medium-sized Pipeline Dredges
3 – 5 miles of pipeline
No Booster Pumps
(~\$10 / CY)

OPERATIONAL FEASIBILITY

Ship traffic able to flow with minimal disruptions during dredging operations

FUTURE OPERATIONS w/out CDF Capacity

COST

3 Pipeline Maintenance contracts / year Large-sized Pipeline Dredges 10+ miles of pipeline 1-4 Booster Pumps (~\$30 / CY)

OPERATIONAL FEASIBILITY

Ship traffic will be significantly inhibited from excess pipeline and dredging equipment





CHANNEL EXPANSION PROJECT

Houston Ship Channel Feasibility Study

- Reducing transportation costs while providing safe, reliable navigation on the Houston Ship Channel

Identify disposal alternatives for:

- 50+ MCY of new work material from Federal Channel and non-Federal Berthing Areas.
- 350 MCY of maintenance material from Federal Channel and non-Federal Berthing Areas.







CHANNEL EXPANSION PROJECT

Long Distance Conveyance of Dredged Material

- Pipeline Dredging:
 - Large Dredges
 - Long Pipelines
 - Limited to 10 miles +-
 - Not operationally feasible
- Hopper Dredging
 - Cannot dredge berthing areas
 - Not dredging when it's sailing
 - Not operationally nor economically feasible
 North of Morgans Point
 - Unable to maneuver in tight areas





MECHANICAL DREDGING







MECHANICAL DREDGING







CHANNEL EXPANSION PROJECT

Long Distance Conveyance of Dredged Material (cont...)

- Mechanical Dredging:
 - Great for berthing area dredging
 - Works well in tight areas
 - Preferred dredging method for new work
 - Able to continuously dredge with multiple scows
 - Scows draw ~15 ft of water















CONFINED AQUATIC DISPOSAL (CAD) CELLS







CONFINED AQUATIC DISPOSAL (CAD) CELLS











CONFINED AQUATIC DISPOSAL (CAD) CELLS WITH ASSOCIATED ENVIRONMENTAL FEATURES







CONFINED AQUATIC DISPOSAL (CAD) CELLS WITH ASSOCIATED ENVIRONMENTAL FEATURES







SCALABLE & REPEATABLE







SUMMARY

CAD Cells proposed for:

New Work (Federal Channel & non-Federal Berths) Future Maintenance (Federal Channel & non-Federal Berths)

- > All Environmental (Mitigation) features constructed upfront
- Keeps material in the system
- Available for multiple simultaneous dredging operations
- Environmental features built with pristine new work material
- Mechanical dredging best method for compromised material
- CAD Cell disposal best disposal method for compromised material
- Once constructed; quick and easy approvals for non-Federal material









ON FACEBOOK www.facebook.com/GalvestonDistrict





ON YOUTUBE www.YouTube.com/Galveston District



ON DVIDS www.dvidshub.net/units/USACE-GD





www.swg.usace.army.mi



of Engineers

