Revision and Combination of Ocean and Inland Manuals

Jeffery A Steevens Email: <u>steevej@wes.army.mil</u>

Keywords: Inland Testing Manual, Ocean Testing Manual, Revision

Overview

Problem:

- Currently ITM and OTM provide overlapping guidance
- Policy guidance sometimes conflicting or outdated
- Guidance does not include recent scientific and technical advances

Overview

Solution: Develop combined manual to provide consistent and updated guidance while recognizing the regulatory differences

- Update policy:
 - Sediment quality guidelines
 - TBP
 - When/how can TBP and SQGs be used?
- Update technical
 - Bioaccumulation interpretation
 - Chronic toxicity tests

Major Issues

- When is testing required?
- Use of TBP, SQGs
- Identifying contaminants of concern
- Method detection limit issues
- Statistical issues
- Chronic toxicity tests
- Confounding factors in bioassays
- Test organisms
- Bioaccumulation interpretation
- Risk Assessment approaches

Development of New Approach

- Risk-Based Conceptual Model
- Weight of evidence/lines of evidence
- Simplify tiers to two levels
 - Existing Information and Screening
 - Biological Assessment
- "Confine" changes to ensure compliance with existing regulations

Distinctions between MPRSA and CWA

- Role of chemical evaluations (SQGs)
 - Sediment chemistry, SQGs, and TBP cannot substitute for required bioassays under MPRSA.
- Management options
 - Capping cannot be used "to cure a flunk" under MPRSA.
- Toxicity and bioaccumulation tests
 - Required under MPRSA unless one of the exclusions is met or earlier testing is valid.

Technical Revision: Conceptual Model

- A generic conceptual model will be described for open water disposal
 - Guidance for making site-specific modifications
 - Establish linkage between exposure pathways and receptors and data collected during an evaluation
 - Direct evaluation process







LOE: Water Column Evaluation

- Updating test species list and protocols.
 - Survey conducted in 2004
 - Identified organisms used/not used/potential
- Reduce elutriate test duration to 24 h
 - Current tests require feeding past 48 h
 - Long term (96 h) is not realistic exposure
- Inclusion of additional dilution in test design. 100%, 50%, 10% and 1% to bracket LC50 value



- Guidance for assessment of radionuclides in dredged material.
 - International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) model for assessing *de minimis* levels of radionuclides (public and dredge worker) (IAEA, 2003).
 - Dept. of Energy Biota Dose Assessment model for assessing impacts on benthos, fish, and wildlife (U.S. DOE, 2002).



- Updated guidance for assessing pathogens
 - Most probable number (MPN)
 - Membrane filter (MF) techniques

LOE: Benthic Evaluation

- Recommendations for the use of chronic toxicity tests when there is a reason to believe chronic effects are a concern.
 - Neanthes araneceodentata 28-day
 - Leptocheirus plumulosus 28-day
 - Hyalella azteca 42-day
 - Chironomus tentans 28-day tests.
- Currently determining
 - What additional information is gained?
 - Increased sensitivity?
 - How to interpret?
 - Additional costs?



LOE: Benthic Evaluation

- Use of sediment chemistry screening tools for rapid inexpensive analysis of chemicals
 - immunoassays
 - biomarkers
 - cell assays (dioxin assay)
- Expanded use of sediment quality guideline values as an additional line of evidence
 - Empirically based (ERL, ERM)
 - Theoretically based (AVS-SEM)

LOE: Bioaccumulation Evaluation

Bioaccumulation Assessment

- Expanded use of thermodynamically based bioaccumulation potential (TBP) to predict bioaccumulation of organic chemicals (Kow > 4.0).
- Additional guidance provided for bioaccumulation testing
 - Use of Corbicula fluminea
 - Consideration for metabolism
- Addition of more sophisticated food-web modeling to assess trophic transfer (e.g. TrophicTrace)

LOE: Bioaccumulation Evaluation

Bioaccumulation Interpretation

- Benthic Organisms
 - Elimination of use of bioaccumulation to predict benthic impacts.
 - Exceptions (Site specific considerations, TES)
- Fish and Wildlife
 - Tissue residue benchmarks (i.e., CBR values, probabilistic approaches, and TRVs)
- Humans
 - FDA fish advisory levels
 - cancer and non-cancer protection levels (IRIS database)

Conclusions

- WOE/LOE should allow additional information to be used to make informed decisions
- CSM will provide direction for evaluation and ensure data quality through iterative process
- New updated evaluation procedures will enhance our ability to describe the nature of sediment proposed for dredging