

Environmental Windows as a Resource Protection Management Practice



DOUG CLARKE

US Army Engineer Research and Development Center

Topics for Discussion

- 1. How do environmental windows affect project planning and work schedules?
- 2. Do environmental windows provide optimal conservation benefits?
- 3. In what cases would monitoring provide more benefit than environmental windows?

Environmental Window - a period during which dredging may occur

Seasonal Restriction - a period during which dredging is not allowed

Chronology of Windows

- First appeared after passage of NEPA in 1969
- By 1980 > 80% of all Federal navigation projects complied with at least one window
- By 1996 > 90% of Federal projects were restricted, a majority by *multiple windows*
- The %age of restricted projects continues to rise in response to an expanding emphasis on environmental mandates such as *the ESA and EFH*

Frequency of Windows by Region (1996)



LAKE MICHIGAN WINDOWS

PROJECT	D'ANN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	אוט ב	Jaor	DUA	SEP	OCT	NOV	DBC
ARCADIA									24			in the second
CHARLEVOIX	-			31	1 15			45	1	1	Î.	
FRANKFORT				31	15			15-	1.16		1	
GREILICEVILLE	-			31	1 15				- 15	1	1	1
GRAND HAVEN (INNER)					15	8)	1	1	1		
GRAND HAVEN (OUTER)					- 15-	1	1	-	1	1	-	
GRAYS REEF PASSAGE				31	1.	1	1				.31	
HOLLAND (INNER)			-		15)	1	1	1		
HOLLAND (OUTER)					- 15-	1	1)	1	1		
LITTLE BAY DE NCC				31	1	1			- 15-	1	1	
LELAND						1.	1	1	1	1	1	
LUDINGTON				31	15					1	1	1
MANISTEE				31	1			-15-		1	1	1
MANISTIQUE				31	1	15			31		1	1
MENOMINEE				31	1	15			31)	1	
MUSKEGON				31	1					1	1	5
NEW BUFFALO			28-		15		30)	1	1		
PENTWATER	-			31	1	+-15-	1	1		1	1	
PETOBKEY				31	1				31		1	
PORTAGE LAKE				31	1				+ 15-		1	
BAUGATUCK				31	1)	1		31			
SOUTH HAVEN	-		28	1	1	Ĩ.	1		31	1	_1	
ST JAMES				-	- 15-		1	1-				
ST JOSEPH (INNER)	-		28	1			30-)	1	1	
ST JOSEPH (OUTER)	-		28	1			30-	1	1	1	1	1
WHITE LAKE				31	1 1	1			+ 15-		1	-1

LAKE MICHIGAN WINDOWS

PROJECT	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JOL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DBC
ARCADIA				21		12			21			
CHARLEVOIX				31	1 15			45		1	1	
FRANKFORT				31	15			- 15-	1.15		1	
GREILICEVILLE				31	1 15				- 15	1	1	
GRAND HAVEN (INNER)					15	8)	1	1	1		
GRAND HAVEN (OUTER)				24	- 15	1	1)	1		
GRAYS REEF PASSAGE				31	1 15	1	15		-		1	
HOLLAND (INNER)					15)	1	1	1		
HOLLAND (OUTER)				24	15	1	1	1	1.	1		
LITTLE BAY DE NOC				31	1				-15-	1	1	
LELAND				24		1 <u> </u>		1.0	1	1	1	
LUDINGTON				31	15			- 15-)	1	
MANISTEE				31	1	1		-15		1	1	
MANISTIQUE				31	1	15			31		1	
MENOMINEE				31	1	15		15	31		1	
MUSKEGON				31	1 15			15		1		
NEW BUFFALO			î.	-	15	15			1	(
PENTWATER				31		1-15-	1	1	1	1	1	
PETOBREY				31	1				1.15		1	
PORTAGE LAKE				31	1				- 15		1	
BAUGATUCK				31	1	1	-		31			
SOUTH HAVEN			°.	1	1	1		1				
ST JAMES					15							
ST JOSEPH (INNER)							20)	1	1	
ST JOSEPH (OUTER)			8	21			30-	1	1.15	1	1	
MUTTE LAPP				31-		1			- 15-		1	

Environmental Windows in Chesapeake Bay



Environmental Windows in Chesapeake Bay





Issues That Lead to Windows

- Contaminated Sediments
- Sediment re-suspension effects

 Turbidity
 - Total Suspended Solids
- Hydraulic entrainment
- Sedimentation effects
- Noise
- T&E species protection

CUMULATIVE WINDOWS EXAMPLE: HYANNIS HARBOR, MA PROJECT FILE

RESTRICTION Winter Flounder **Anadromous Fish Shorebird Nesting Bathing & Boating Shellfish Spawning Sea Turtles WINDOW**



The Problem from a Dredging Project Manager's Perspective
• Windows have a "cumulative effect"



Consequences of Environmental Windows

- Protracted project schedules and delays
- Rising costs per cubic yard of sediment dredged

 Contentious coordination pitting the need to dredge against the *Precautionary Principle*

The Precautionary Principle

- When an activity raises threats of harm to human health or the environment, precautionary measures should be taken even if some cause-and-effect relationships are not fully established scientifically.

(from the 1998 Wingspread Statement)

The Precautionary Principle in Practice

- The PP is intended to be a *risk-averse* and ideally an *adaptive* management practice
- Under the PP precautions are intended to be *preliminary* measures pending completion of risk assessment
- Precautions are *not an endpoint*, but a *starting point* in a search for alternatives
- "The litmus test for knowing when to apply the PP is the combination of *threat of harm and scientific uncertainty*" (Tickner, 1999)

PROPORTIONALITY: THE APPLIED PRECAUTION SHOULD BE PROPORTIONAL TO THE DEGREE OF RISK



PRECAUTION CONCERNS RELATED TO TURBIDITY

An environmental window is an off switch, not a dimmer switch. By default it infers that no risk is acceptable.

Research obstacles: Sea Turtle Entrainment Example



- Major investment in research resulted in greatly reduced "take" by hydraulic dredges
- Reduced "take" did not lead to more flexible windows
- New dragarm and deflector designs would be extremely expensive to plan, evaluate, and implement
- Extensive interagency coordination and collaboration required to demonstrate that dredging outside of the existing windows can be done without additional "take"

ONE CONSEQUENCE OF 35 YEARS OF ENVIRONMENTAL WINDOWS:

Stagnation in the search for better, safer ways to conduct dredging while protecting environmental resources.

OBTAINING EXEMPTIONS FROM EXISTING WINDOWS TO ASSESS IMPACTS IS OFTEN A CHALLENGE

Informed Decisions Demand an Integrated Approach

- Biology
- Life history stage
- Habitat
- Seasonality
- Vulnerability

- Dredging
- Type
- Performance
- Waterway
- Temporal/Spatial Scales

National Research Council



A Process for Setting, Managing, and Monitoring Environmental Windows



Pitfalls in the Present System

- Burden of proof lies on the dredging community, but targets are fuzzy
- Often weak documentation
- Few resource agencies have staff dedicated to the dredging process
- Resource agencies have no funds for dredging research or training
- Little incentive exists to change the status quo

Recommendations

- Consider all best management practices on an equal basis with windows (e.g., silt curtains, closed buckets, buffer zones, etc.)
 - Accept windows as a potentially useful tool based on the merits of a given project and specific sources of risk
 - Do not institutionalize windows, but invest in development of alternatives

Recommendations

- Seek science-based, adaptive approaches
- Obtain commitments to resolve major concerns
- Explore ecological risk-based methodologies to set windows
- Train regulators in the dredging process
- Increase awareness of conservation needs among dredgers

In Conclusion:

- Environmental windows are a nonadaptive management practice and represent an imperfect application of the precautionary principle
- Progress beyond a perfunctory acceptance of windows as the management practice of first resort requires commitment from all stakeholders

DREDGED SEDIMENT IS JUST THAT

- SEDIMENT -

NOT SPOIL

Topics for Discussion

- 1. How do environmental windows affect project planning and work schedules?
- 2. Do environmental windows provide optimal conservation benefits?
- 3. In what cases would monitoring provide more benefit than environmental windows?